Doubert 97-80

Jul 10, 2008

FCC (Federal Communications Commission Public Comments) 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect

requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable

provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by

limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition. Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Adam Lane 7680 Cathedral Oaks Rd Apt 5 Goleta, CA 93117-1039 FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG 2 6 2008

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd_______ List ABCDE

Doctat 97-80

Jul 10, 2008

FCC (Federal Communications Commission Public Comments) 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect

requires cable companies to integrate outlines and policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to rederal communications hoxes, thus hampering innovation and harming office of the Secretary to make

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable

provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by

limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition. Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Ms. Kate Duvall 1612 Stonebrooke Dr Edwardsville, IL 62025-4296

FILED/ACCEPTED Office of the Secretary

No. of Caples rec'd 0 LISTABCDE

FCC (Federal Communications Commission Public Comments) 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content. By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition. Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Irick 135 Berry Rd Andersonville, TN 37705~1726



FCC (Federal Communications Commission Public Comments) 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG 2 6 2008

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, another cations communications of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content. By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition. Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dana Hoffman 2515 W Highway 2 Apt 2 Grand Rapids, MN 55744-2172

No. of Copies rec'd 6
List A B C D E

FCC (Federal Communications Commission Public Comments) 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FILED/ACCEPTED
AUG 2 6 2008 Federal Communications Commission As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and communications legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for the secretary.

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and promote the secretary of A7 CFR 76.1204(a) (1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all secretary which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market

competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content. By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable

provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on

by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition. Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mrs. danielle mason-botsford 9653 SE 73rd Ave Milwaukie, OR 97222-1814

> No. of Capies rec'd____ ListABCDE

Jul 23, 2008

FCC (Federal Communications Commission Public Comments) 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

S Docket 97-8 FILED/ACCEPTED

AUG 262008 As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for office of the Secretary. Federal Communications Commission

requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable

provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by

limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition. Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas Freed 2417 S Barry Rd Ithaca, MI 48847-9421

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

MB Docket 97-80

Jul 25, 2008

FCC (Federal Communications Commission Public Comments) 445 12th Street SW

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all redeal communications. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect

The CableCARDs into their own set-top of the Secretary in the secretary in

Office of the Secretary

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable

provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by

limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition. Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Mario Hernandez RR 1 Box 12132 Manati, PR 00674-9740

> No. of Copies racid 0 LISTABODE